

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,797	01/14/2004	Akhil Jiten Madhani	54317-025101	5437
46560	7590 11/23/2005	•	EXAMINER	
THE WALT DISNEY COMPANY C/O GREENBERG TRAURIG LLP			RADA, ALEX P	
2450 COLORADO AVENUE SUITE 400E		400E	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NICA, CA 90404		3713	

DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		160	m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/757,797	MADHANI ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alex P. Rada	3713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailting date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the second will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the course the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, p		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and accomposed and accomposed are the specific process.	cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some *.c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Applica prity documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summal Paper No(s)/Mail l 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/757,797

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-28, drawn to a supported walking system, classified in class 446, subclass
 355.
 - II. Claims 29-38, drawn to the method of controlling a two-legged walking figure, classified in class 700, subclass 245.
- III. Claims 39-51, drawn to a skeletal support system, classified in class 901, subclass 28. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as two-legged walking device that does not need a wheeled support. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as a walking figure that does not require a skeletal system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as the controlling the movement of a walking figure that does not require a skeletal system. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Page 3

5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. A telephone call was made to Margo Maddox (Agent) on November 17, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex P. Rada whose telephone number is 571-272-4452. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 08:00-16:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Xuan Thai can be reached on 571-272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,797

Art Unit: 3713

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

APR

XUAN M. THAI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC3700